

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STANLEY BURTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

LAILA DARBY, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:23-cv-03034 KJM AC (PS)

ORDER

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge under Local Rule 302(c)(21).

On August 9, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. ECF No. 21. Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 9, 2024, are adopted in full; and

3 2. The motion to dismiss (ECF No. 13) is GRANTED to the limited extent that plaintiff's
4 request for punitive damages is dismissed and the complaint's prayer for relief is construed as
5 seeking damages that are compensatory only, and OTHERWISE DENIED.

6 DATED: December 4, 2024.

7
8 
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28